CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

1 hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class

Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date below.

Line Gauthier

December 2, 200

(Date of Deposit)

1627

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Kenneth F. Buechler et al

Title:

HYBRID PHTHALOCYANINE DERIVATIVES AND THEIR

LICEC

USES

Appl. No.:

09/776,599

Filing Date:

February 1, 2001

Examiner:

Maurie Garcia Baker

Art Unit:

1627

TRANSMITTAL

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above application is a response to restriction requirement mailed on October 31, 2002. The deadline to reply is November 30, 2002, which, falling on a Saturday, can be extended to the succeeding business day or to Monday, December 2, 2002 under CFR §1.7 (a).

Although no fees are believed to be due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 CFR §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. **50-0872**.

Date: December 2, 2002

FOLEY & LARDNER

Customer Number: 30542

20542

30542

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Telephone: Facsimile:

(858) 847-6721

(858) 792-6773

Respectfully submitted,

Michael A. Whittaker Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 46,230

RECEIVED



Applicant:

Kenneth F. Buechler et al

Title

HYBRID PHTHALOCYANINE DERIVATIVES AND THEIR

USES

Appl. No.:

09/776,599

Filing Date:

February 🦙 2001

Examiner:

Maurie Garcia Baker

Art Unit:

1627

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement mailed on October 31, 2002 ("Paper No. 7"), please consider the following remarks.

IN THE CLAIMS

Please cancel all pending claims without prejudice to their future prosecution. Please enter the following new claims:

The Examiner has divided the claims into three groups, requiring restriction of the claims to a single group for examination on the merits. These groups are:

I: Claims 30-42, 45, and 46;

II: Claim 43; and

III: Claim 44.

Applicants hereby elect group I, with traverse.

According to MPEP § 803, there are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentably distinct inventions:

(A) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and